# HINDUSTAN
## INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE
### (DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

**Date:** 20.09.2017

| Name of the Faculty: | Designation: |
|---|---|
| Ms. M. Maheshwari | AP(SG) |

| Experience in years: | Programme Name: |
|---|---|
| 15 | M.Tech/EEE |

**Department Vision & Mission Statements are well defined.**

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Strongly Disagree* | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | *Strongly Agree* |

**The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.**

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Strongly Disagree* | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | *Strongly Agree* |

**The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.**

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Strongly Disagree* | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | ☐ | *Strongly Agree* |

**The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.**

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Strongly Disagree* | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | *Strongly Agree* |

**Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics**.

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Strongly Disagree* | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | ☐ | *Strongly Agree* |

**The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.**

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Strongly Disagree* | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | *Strongly Agree* |

**Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.**

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Strongly Disagree* | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | ☐ | *Strongly Agree* |

**Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.**

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Strongly Disagree* | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | X | *Strongly Agree* |

**Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum**

Specific Electives pertaining to specializations should be included